arXiv:hep-ph/9205201v1 4 May 1992

OCIP/C-92-1

Production of the Lightest Supersymmetric Particle in Electron-Photon Collisions

Heinz König[1*] and K. A. Peterson[2]

Ottawa-Carleton Institute

for Physics

Department of Physics

Carleton University

Ottawa, Ontario

Canada K1S 5B6

Abstract: We present cross sections for the production of the lightest supersymmetric particle as a neutralino state in the minimal supersymmetric standard model at electron-photon colliders. The lightest supersymmetric particle mass is taken at a value of 30 GeV which is slightly higher than its lowest experimental bound of 20 GeV, and the masses of the scalar electron are varied. We show partial cross sections of the energy and angular distribution of the outgoing electron for different values of the centre of mass energy. As a result we show that electron-photon collider experiments could be quite sensitive to the detection of supersymmetric particles.

April 1992

*supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

[1]EMAIL: KONIG@PHYSICS.CARLETON.CA

[2]EMAIL: PETERSON@PHYSICS.CARLETON.CA

I. INTRODUCTION

In very recent papers [1-7 and references therein] it was shown that future electron-photon and photon-photon colliders could be quite sensitive to the observation of new physics beyond the standard model. Experimentally, electron-photon and photon-photon colliders could be obtained by backscattering laser beams off e^+e^--colliders such as SLC or NLC (Next Linear Collider) [8]. One could also consider scenarios where the photon originates either from bremstrahlung or beamstrahlung [9]. In the literature it has been shown that electron-photon and photon-photon colliders could be used to limit anomalous gauge couplings [3,4,5], to produce the SM Higgs boson [2], to produce isosinglet neutral heavy leptons [1] or to produce supersymmetric particles of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) such as charginos and scalar leptons [6,7]. In these papers the centre of mass energy (CME), $\sqrt{s}$, ranged from 100 GeV to 2 TeV.

In this paper we present the cross sections for producing the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) and the scalar electron with its following decay into an electron and the LSP. We suppose that the LSP is the lightest mass eigenstate of the 4x4 neutralino mass matrix and is mainly a photino. We consider beam centre of mass energies from SLC like energies of 100 GeV to possible future energies of 1 TeV.

We present our results in the following sections. In the next section we lay the ground work for the energy distribution of the photon beam that would result from an $e\gamma$ collider produced by laser backscattering. These distributions will then be folded into our cross-section evaluations. In the third section we show the Feynman diagrams for producing the LSP and give the couplings. We also discuss the masses of the considered supersymmetric particles and take values consistent with the experimental lower limits. In section four we present the partial cross sections of the angular and energy

distributions of the outgoing electron for various values of the scalar electron mass and CME. In the last section we give our conclusions. The results of our calculation of the matrix element squared are given in the appendix.

II. BACKSCATTERING LASERS OFF ELECTRON BEAMS

The idea of Compton scattering laser light off e^- or e^+ beams was first proposed by Ginzburg et al. [8]. This possibility is especially exciting, since the scattered photon beam is hard, with energies and luminosities very close to those of the original electron/positron beam.

The relation between the subprocess $e^-\gamma \to X$ and the e^+e^- collisions may be approximated by folding its $e\gamma$ cross section with the appropriate differential $e\gamma$ luminosity function[4],

$$\frac{d\sigma_{e^+e^-\to e^+e^-X}}{dcos\theta} \approx \int_{\tau_{\min}}^{1} d\tau \frac{dL_{e\gamma}(\tau)}{d\tau} \frac{d\sigma_{e\gamma\to X}}{dcos\theta}, \tag{1}$$

where $\tau_{\min} = Max(\text{lower kinematic limit}, x_{\max}/2)$ (see below) and the differential luminosity $dL_{e\gamma}/d\tau$ is defined by the momentum distributions $f_{e,\gamma}$ of the electron and photon beams respectively,

$$\frac{dL_{e\gamma}}{d\tau} = \int_{\tau/x_{max}}^{x_{max}} \frac{dx}{x} f_e(x) f_\gamma(\tau/x), \qquad \tau = \frac{\hat{s}}{s}, \tag{2}$$

with s being the total e^+e^- CME squared, $\hat{s}$ being the effective $e\gamma$ CME, and x_{max} corresponding to the maximum momentum allowed kinematically by the γ production process. Since the electron beam distribution may be represented by a δ-function, the subprocesses are related to the e^+e^- processes simply by the momentum distribution

for Compton scattering,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{e^+e^-\to e^+e^-X}}{dcos\theta} \approx \int_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} d\tau f_\gamma^{laser}(\tau) \frac{d\sigma_{e\gamma\to X}}{dcos\theta}, \tag{3}$$

where x_{min} is the minimum allowable momentum in the phase space of the process under consideration. The momentum distribution of the Compton scattered laser photons is just given by the differential cross-section for Compton scattering[4,8],

$$f_\gamma^{laser}(x, x_0) = \frac{1}{\sigma_C}\frac{d\sigma_C}{dx} = \frac{1 - x + \frac{1}{(1-x)} - \frac{4x}{x_0(1-x)} + \frac{4x^2}{x_0^2(1-x)^2}}{(1 - \frac{4}{x_0} - \frac{8}{x_0^2})\ln(1+x_0) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{8}{x_0} - \frac{1}{2(1+x_0)^2}}, \tag{4}$$

with x_0 being a Compton scattering variable given by

$$x_0 = \frac{2\sqrt{s}\omega_{laser}}{m_e^2}, \tag{5}$$

dependent upon the electron beam energy, $\sqrt{s}/2$, the laser energy, ω_{laser}, and the electron mass, m_e. The maximum photon energy allowed by the Compton scattering is

$$x_{max} = \frac{x_0}{1 + x_0}. \tag{6}$$

We will use $\omega_{laser} = 1.17$ keV or 3.5 keV, corresponding to a neodymium glass laser and a neodymium glass laser with frequency tripling respectively[8]. The back-scattered portion of the Compton scattering is equivalent to the large x portion. One can thus concentrate on the hard scattering of the laser beam by collimating the back-scattered laser in a small angle. We have therefore required $\tau > x_{max}/2$ when folding in the photon distributions [8].

III. FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS, COUPLINGS AND MASSES

To produce the LSP in electron-photon collisions we have to consider the three Feynman diagrams given in Figure 1 with the Feynman rules [10] of Figure 2. In the calculation of the matrix element we have also to consider the diagrams where $\tilde{\chi}_i^0$ is interchanged with $\tilde{\chi}_j^0$ in Figure 1, that is $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$. The complete matrix element squared is then $\frac{1}{2}|M(p_1,p_2) - M(p_2,p_1)|^2$. A detailed calculation of the matrix element squared is given in the appendix.

The highest background to this process in the standard model comes from $e\gamma \to e\nu\bar{\nu}$, which leads to the same signature of $e\gamma \to \ e$ + missing energy. This process may proceed through a W or Z propagator [3-5].

For the masses of the supersymmetric particles we have to take values consistent with the experimental lower limits. From LEP and SLC experiments it is well known that the masses of new charged particles such as charginos or scalar leptons must be larger than 45 GeV. If the LSP is a neutralino, it has been shown that its mass must be larger than about 20 GeV [11]. We do not consider the scalar electron neutrino as the LSP. In the MSSM, due to the D-term in the superpotential and the renormalization group equations, the relationship between the SU(2) doublet scalar leptons is [12]

$$m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2 - m_{\tilde{\nu}_\ell}^2 = m_W^2 \frac{v_2^2 - v_1^2}{v_2^2 + v_1^2}. \tag{7}$$

With $v_1 \approx v_2$ there is no difference in the masses of the scalar electron and scalar electron neutrino. We also do not consider a large mixing between the scalar partners of the left- and right-handed electrons, which is proportional to the electron mass in the MSSM [10].

The 2x2 chargino mass matrix and the 4x4 neutralino mass matrix are described by the higgsino mixing parameter μ, the $SU(2)_L$ gaugino mass m_{g_2} and the ratio of the Higgs expectation values $\tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$ [10]. We use the relation of the $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ gaugino masses $m_{g_2}/m_{g_1} = \frac{3\alpha_2}{5\alpha_1}$ in grand-unified supersymmetric models.

Analyses of the chargino and neutralino mass matrices show that negative values of the μ-parameter are favoured. The parameter region $0 \leq \mu \leq 50$ GeV leads to too light chargino masses. If μ is very large (≥ 100 GeV) then the gaugino mass has also to be very large (larger than μ) to avoid too small chargino and neutralino masses. If μ is negative, we have to keep $m_{g_2} \leq |\mu|$ if we want to have the LSP be mainly a photino (that is N'_{11} in Figure 2 b)-e) is of $\mathcal{O}(1)$). In the case $v_1 = v_2$ and $m_{g_2} \geq |\mu|$ the LSP is mainly a higgsino with mass $|\mu|$ (this can be easily seen by calculating the polynom for the mass eigenvalues λ and setting $\lambda = -\mu$ which is a pure mass eigenstate if $v_1 = v_2$) (here N'_{11} and N'_{12} are zero). In the case $v_1 \neq v_2$ the LSP is a mixture of all components with increasing mass as m_{g_2} grows.

In the cross sections given below we have taken a value of 30 GeV for the LSP mass, a value just slightly above the lowest experimental limit of 20 GeV. To have not too many parameters we have also taken it to be primarily a photino ($N'_{11} \approx 1$). This is valid for the region $\mu \leq 0$ and $m_{g_2} \leq |\mu|$. For purposes of calculating the width of the scalar electron, we have also assumed that a zino state ($N'_{22} \approx 1$) and a wino state occur at 100 GeV. Other neutralino and chargino states are assumed to be either too heavy or have too weak a coupling to contribute to the scalar electron width. In addition to a 30 GeV photino, we will briefly comment on higher LSP masses. In the next section we present the cross sections for CME values of $\sqrt{s} = 100$ GeV, 500 GeV and 1 TeV, as well as for different masses of the scalar electron.

IV. CROSS SECTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF THE LSP

We present the partial cross sections $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ and $\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_e}$, where E_e is the energy of the outgoing electron and θ_e its angle with the incoming electron. A beamline cut of 10^o has been made on the outgoing electron.

In Figure 3 a) we show the energy distribution of the outgoing electron with a CME for $e\gamma$ collisions of 100 GeV. This is done for scalar electron masses of 50, 75 and 100 GeV. The solid line gives the Standard Model result for the process $\gamma e \to e\nu\bar{\nu}$, while the dashed line gives the MSSM result for a scalar electron mass of 50 GeV, the dotted line for $m_{\tilde{e}} = 75$ GeV and the dash-dotted line for $m_{\tilde{e}} = 100$ GeV. We have also included the cross-sections for the case when the incoming electron has been right-hand polarized. In Figure 3, only the Standard Model result differs from the unpolarized case and it is given by the dash-triple-dotted line. Figure 3 b) gives the angular distribution of the outgoing electron. While in the Standard Model the outgoing electrons are predominantly back-scattered with respect to the incoming electrons, the MSSM has a more even angular distribution. For a scalar electron mass of about 50 GeV a experimental cut at $\cos\theta = -0.8$ could distinguish the MSSM result from the standard result. As a result we see that scalar electron masses small enough (about 50 GeV) lead to cross sections comparable with the standard result. If one has a polarized electron beam, one could produce cross-sections considerably above the Standard Model result, there being only a contribution from the Z in the Standard Model, and this occurs at a very well defined electron energy. Higher scalar electron masses above the threshold for real scalar electron production give cross sections that are too small.

In Figure 3 c) and d) we consider the same cases as in Figure 3 a) and b) with the photon energy distribution folded in for an e^+e^- CME $\sqrt{s} = 100$ GeV and a laser

beam energy of 3.5 keV according to (1). This leads to a further suppression of both the supersymmetric process and the S.M. background by a factor of about 10. The shape of the figures is slightly changed due to a smearing of the effective CME for $e\gamma$ collisions.

Figure 4 a) and b) shows the results for an $e\gamma$ CME of 500 GeV and scalar electron masses of 50, 100 and 250 GeV. The S.M. result is given by the solid line while the 50, 100 and 250 GeV scalar electron differential cross-sections are given by, respectively, the dashed, dotted and long-dash-dotted lines. The right-hand polarized results are given for the S.M. by the dash-triple-dotted line, and for the 250 GeV scalar electron by the short-dash-dotted line. The small difference in the polarized cross-section for the 250 GeV scalar electron is due to the difference in decay modes (and thus decay widths) of the right- and left-handed scalar electrons, the left-handed scalar electron having a decay channel through the 100 GeV wino.

In Figure 4 c) and d) we have again folded in the photon energy distribution with a more realistic laser beam energy of 1.17 keV. Because of (5) we have taken a somewhat higher laser beam energy for the e^+e^- CME of 100 GeV. Because of the higher CME we only get a small suppression factor in contrast to Figure 3 c) and d). In Figure 4 d) we see that the MSSM is comparable to the Standard Model and even can be distinguished if the incoming electron beam is polarized.

In Figure 5 a) and b) we have an $e\gamma$ CME of 1 TeV and scalar electron masses of 50, 250 and 500 GeV. The same labeling scheme as the 500 GeV case was used. In Figure 5 c) and d) the photon energy distribution with the same laser beam energy as in Figure 4 c) and d) was folded in. The results are similar to the results shown in Figure 4 c) and d).

We observe that electron-photon colliders at high energies could give strong lower bounds on scalar electron masses or may even lead to their detection.

Higher values of the LSP mass lead to a further phase space suppression of the cross section. For an LSP of about 90 GeV the results are not changed significantly. Total cross sections change by no more than a factor of 2, while the only significant change is in the energy distribution of the outgoing electrons. The high cross section portions of these distributions are those portions for which the electron and the second LSP proceed through a real scalar electron. The maximum electron energy for this to happen is given by $E_e = \frac{1}{2}(m_{\tilde{e}}^2 - \tilde{m}^2)/(E_{\tilde{e}} - |\vec{p}_{\tilde{e}}|)$, which decreases for increasing LSP mass, $\tilde{m}$. The total cross sections lie in the 10 fb to 1 pb region.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented the partial cross sections for the energy and angular distributions of the outgoing electrons coming from the decay of the scalar electrons in electron-photon collisions. The missing energy is carried away by two LSP's. We have given the result for three different values of the CME, $\sqrt{s} = 100$ GeV, 500 GeV and 1 TeV. The LSP was given a mass of 30 GeV, just above the lowest experimental limit of 20 GeV valid for the LSP being a neutralino. In our analysis we have plotted the differential cross sections $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ and $\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_e}$ for various scalar electron masses for the case of a $\sqrt{s}/2$ photon beam incident on a $\sqrt{s}/2$ electron beam, and for the case where the photon energy distribution of a backscattered laser has been folded in.

As a result we see that $e\gamma$-colliders could be more efficient at constraining the scalar electron and LSP masses (or possibly even detecting them) than e^+e^--colliders, where the scalar electrons are produced in pairs. We have also shown that if the scalar electron mass is small enough, the cross sections can be distinguished from the Standard Model backgrounds. Folding in the photon energy distribution with a laser beam energy of 1.17 keV and for an e^+e^- CME of $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and 1 TeV we have seen in Figure

4 d) and 5 d) that even for higher values of the scalar electron masses, the MSSM can be distinguished from the Standard Model if the incoming electron beam is polarized. Electron-photon colliders could provide us with either high constraints on the mass of the scalar electron and LSP or evidence for supersymmetry.

While finishing our calculations we received a paper by Goto and Kon [13]. They consider the production of scalar electrons and photinos and the production of scalar electron-neutrinos and charginos. They present the angular distribution of the outgoing scalar electron, chargino and W-boson. In our paper we have considered the full cross section for producing two LSP's and an electron through an intermediate LSP/scalar electron state. Thus we present the angular and energy distribution of the outgoing electron coming from the decay of the scalar electrons. It is these quantities that would be experimentally observable. We believe this is necessary so that one can properly distinguish this event from the SM background. In the limit that our results should agree with those of [13], they do.

IV. ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank S. Godfrey and R. Sinha for help with the Monte Carlo phase space integrations. The work of H.K. was supported by the Deutsche Forchungsgemeinschaft, while that of K.A.P. was supported through an NSERC grant.

IV. APPENDIX

In this appendix we give the result of the calculation of the matrix elements for the Feynman diagrams in Figure 1. We have done the calculation for the matrix elements in independent ways and our results agree. The three body phase space calculation was done by computer.

The matrix element squared may be written as a sum of matrix element squared of

left- and right-handed components due to the fact the electrons are massless:

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = |\mathcal{M}_L|^2 + |\mathcal{M}_R|^2. \tag{A.1}$$

This is further split into a non-interference term and an interference term, the interference term coming from the cross traces of the diagrams, and the diagrams with p_1 interchanged with p_2. Thus

$$|\mathcal{M}_L|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\big(\ |\mathcal{M}_L^{NI}|^2 + |\mathcal{M}_L^{I}|^2 + (p_1 \rightleftharpoons p_2)\ \big). \tag{A.2}$$

The expression for the non-interference term is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}_L^{NI}|^2 &= -16e^2[-eN'_{11} + \frac{c_L^e g N'_{12}}{cos\theta_W}]^4 \\
&\times\Bigg[\ |a_L|^2(p_1\cdot p_e)(p_2\cdot k_e) - \frac{4(p_e\cdot p_\gamma)(p_1\cdot p_\gamma)(p_2\cdot k_e)}{s^2|P_{K2L}|^2} - \frac{4(k_e\cdot p_\gamma)(p_1\cdot p_e)(p_2\cdot p_\gamma)}{t^2|P_{P1L}|^2} \\
&+2\Big(Re(\frac{a_L}{P^*_{K2L}})\cdot p_e\Big)\frac{(p_1\cdot p_\gamma)(p_2\cdot k_e)}{s} - 2\Big(p_1\cdot Re(\frac{a_L}{P^*_{K2L}})\Big)\frac{(p_e\cdot p_\gamma)(p_2\cdot k_e)}{s} \\
&-2\Big(Re(\frac{a_L}{P^*_{P1L}})\cdot k_e\Big)\frac{(p_2\cdot p_\gamma)(p_1\cdot p_e)}{t} + 2\Big(p_2\cdot Re(\frac{a_L}{P^*_{P1L}})\Big)\frac{(k_e\cdot p_\gamma)(p_1\cdot p_e)}{t} \\
&+\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho}\Big\{\quad [p_1^\alpha p_e^\beta(k_e\cdot p_2) + p_2^\alpha p_e^\beta(k_e\cdot p_1)]p_\gamma^\sigma Im(\frac{a_L^\rho}{sP^*_{K2L}}) \\
&\quad +[p_1^\alpha k_e^\beta(p_e\cdot p_2) + p_2^\alpha k_e^\beta(p_e\cdot p_1)]p_\gamma^\sigma Im(\frac{a_L^\rho}{tP^*_{P1L}}) \\
&\quad +p_1^\alpha p_2^\beta[-k_e\cdot p_\gamma p_e^\sigma Im(\frac{a_L^\rho}{sP^*_{K2L}}) + \Big(k_e\cdot Im(\frac{a_L}{sP^*_{K2L}})\Big)p_e^\sigma p_\gamma^\rho + p_e\cdot p_\gamma k_e^\sigma Im(\frac{a_L^\rho}{tP^*_{P1L}}) \\
&\qquad -\Big(p_e\cdot Im(\frac{a_L}{tP^*_{P1L}})\Big)k_e^\sigma p_\gamma^\rho + k_e\cdot p_e p_\gamma^\sigma Im(\frac{a_L^\rho}{sP^*_{K2L}} - \frac{a_L^\rho}{tP^*_{P1L}})]\ \Big\}\ \Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

The interference term is given by:

$$|\mathcal{M}_L^I|^2 = +8e^2[-eN'_{11} + \frac{c_L^e g N'_{12}}{cos\theta_W}]^4 \tilde{m}^2 \ \times \ \Bigg[\quad Re(a_L \cdot a_L'^*)(k_e \cdot p_e)$$

$$- \frac{4(p_e \cdot p_\gamma)(k_e \cdot p_\gamma)}{s^2} Re\Big(\frac{1}{P_{K2L} P^*_{K1L}}\Big) - \frac{4(p_e \cdot p_\gamma)(k_e \cdot p_\gamma)}{t^2} Re\Big(\frac{1}{P_{P1L} P^*_{P2L}}\Big) \tag{A.4}$$

$$+ 2Re\Big(\frac{a_L}{sP^*_{K1L}} + \frac{a_L}{tP^*_{P2L}}\Big) \cdot p_e \, (k_e \cdot p_\gamma) - 2Re\Big(\frac{a_L}{sP^*_{K1L}} + \frac{a_L}{tP^*_{P2L}}\Big) \cdot k_e \, (p_e \cdot p_\gamma)$$

$$-2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho}\Big\{ \, p_e^\alpha k_e^\beta p_\gamma^\sigma \, Im\Big(\frac{a_L^\rho}{sP^*_{K1L}} - \frac{a_L^\rho}{tP^*_{P2L}}\Big) \, \Big\} \quad \Bigg].$$

In the above formulas, $\tilde{m}$ is the LSP mass, $m_{\tilde{e}_{L/R}}$ the mass of the left/right-handed scalar electron,

$$s = (p_e + p_\gamma)^2, \tag{A.5}$$

$$t = (k_e - p_\gamma)^2, \tag{A.6}$$

$$P_{K\,1/2\,L} = (k_e + p_{1/2})^2 - m^2_{\tilde{e}_L} + i\Gamma_{\tilde{e}_L} m^2_{\tilde{e}_L}, \tag{A.7}$$

$$P_{P\,1/2\,L} = (p_e - p_{1/2})^2 - m^2_{\tilde{e}_L} + i\Gamma_{\tilde{e}_L} m^2_{\tilde{e}_L}, \tag{A.8}$$

$$a_L^\mu = \frac{2p_e^\mu}{sP_{K2L}} + \frac{2k_e^\mu}{tP_{P1L}} + \frac{p_e^\mu + k_e^\mu - p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu}{P_{P1L} P_{K2L}}, \tag{A.9}$$

and

$$a_L'^\mu = a_L^\mu(p_1 \rightleftharpoons p_2) = \frac{2p_e^\mu}{sP_{K1L}} + \frac{2k_e^\mu}{tP_{P2L}} + \frac{p_e^\mu + k_e^\mu + p_1^\mu - p_2^\mu}{P_{P2L} P_{K1L}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Similar expressions hold for the right-handed terms with L→R. The Z coupling constants, $c^e_{L/R}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_L^e &= -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^2\theta_W, \\ c_R^e &= \sin^2\theta_W. \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

References

[1] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia et al.,"Isosinglet Neutral Heavy Lepton Production in High-Energy $e-\gamma$ collisions", MAD/PH/686, IFT-P-044/91.

[2] E. Boos et al., Phys. Lett. **273B** (1991), 173.

[3] G. Couture et al., Phys. Rev. **D39** (1989), 3239.

[4] S.Y. Choi and F. Schrempp, Phys. Lett. **272B**. (1991), 149

[5] E. Yehudai, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991), 3434.

[6] L. Bento and A. Mourão, Z. Phys. **C37** (1988),587.

[7] A. Goto and T. Kon, Europhys. Lett. **13** (1990), 211.

[8] I.F. Ginzburg et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. **205** (1983), 47, Nucl. Inst. Meth. **219** (1984), 5.

[9] R. Blankenbecler and S.D. Drell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988), 2324.

[10] H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, Phys. Rep. **117** (1985), 75.

[11] L. Roszkowski, Phys. Lett. **252B** (1990),471.

[12] L.E. Ibañez and C. López, Nucl. Phys. **B233** (1984), 511.

[13] A. Goto and T. Kon, "Supersymmetric Particle Production at TeV $e\gamma$ collider", ITP-SU-92/01.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Feynman diagrams for producing the LSP in electron-photon collisions.

Fig. 2 Feynman rules for the couplings used in Fig. 1

Fig. 3 a) The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ for the CME $\sqrt{s} = 100$ GeV: The solid line is the Standard Model result, the dashed line is the MSSM result with $m_{\tilde{e}} = 50$ GeV, the dotted line is $m_{\tilde{e}} = 75$ GeV and the dash-dotted line is $m_{\tilde{e}} = 100$ GeV. The dash-triple dotted line is the Standard Model result for a right-handed polarized electron beam. For the supersymmetric processes there is no chiral dependence under our assumptions. The mass of the LSP was taken to be 30 GeV.

b) Same as a) except for the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta_e}$.

c) The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ with the photon energy distribution folded in for an e^+e^- CME $\sqrt{s} = 100$ GeV and a laser energy of 3.5 keV: Labeling the same as in a).

d) Same as c) except for the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta_e}$.

Fig. 4 a) The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ for the CME $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV: The solid line is the SM result, the dashed line is the MSSM result with $m_{\tilde{e}} = 50$ GeV, the dotted line is $m_{\tilde{e}} = 100$ GeV and the long-dash-dotted line is $m_{\tilde{e}} = 250$ GeV. The dash-triple-dotted line is the SM result for a right-handed polarized electron beam and the short-dash-dotted line is for $m_{\tilde{e}} = 250$ GeV with right-handed polarization. For smaller $m_{\tilde{e}}$ there is no chiral dependence. The mass of the LSP was taken to be 30 GeV.

b) Same as a) except for the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta_e}$.

c) The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ with the photon energy distribution folded in for an e^+e^- CME $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and a laser energy of 1.17 keV: Labeling the same as in a). The right-hand polarized case for $m_{\tilde{e}} = 250$ GeV, is very similar to the unpolarized case and has been omitted for aesthetic reasons.

d) Same as c) except for the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta_e}$.

Fig. 5 a) The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ for the CME $\sqrt{s} = 1$ TeV: Labeling the same as in Fig. 4 a).

b) Same as a) except for the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta_e}$. The theta dependence for $m_{\tilde{e}} = 50$ GeV is much the same as for $m_{\tilde{e}} = 100$ GeV and has been omitted for aesthetic purposes.

c) The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e}$ with the photon energy distribution folded in for an e^+e^- CME $\sqrt{s} = 1$ TeV and a laser energy of 1.17 keV: Labeling the same as in a).

d) Same as c) except for the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta_e}$. Again the case of $m_{\tilde{e}} = 50$ GeV has been omitted for aesthetic purposes.